Introduction

A proposal for a Bill to pardon all those convicted under the Witchcraft Act 1563.

The consultation runs from 23 June 2022 to 15 September 2022

All those wishing to respond to the consultation are strongly encouraged to enter their responses electronically through this survey. This makes collation of responses much simpler and quicker. However, the option also exists of sending in a separate response (in hard copy or by other electronic means such as e-mail), and details of how to do so are included in the member's consultation document.

Questions marked with an asterisk (*) require an answer.

All responses must include a name and contact details. Names will only be published if you give us permission, and contact details are never published – but we may use them to contact you if there is a query about your response. If you do not include a name and/or contact details, we may have to disregard your response.

Please note that you must complete the survey in order for your response to be accepted. If you don't wish to complete the survey in a single session, you can choose "Save and Continue later" at any point. Whilst you have the option to skip particular questions, you must continue to the end of the survey and press "Submit" to have your response fully recorded.

Please ensure you have read the consultation document before responding to any of the questions that follow. In particular, you should read the information contained in the document about how your response will be handled. The consultation document is available here:

Consultation Document

Privacy Notice

I confirm that I have read and understood the Privacy Notice which explains how my personal data will be used.

On the previous page we asked you if you are UNDER 12 YEARS old, and you responded Yes to this question.

If this is the case, we will have to contact your parent or guardian for consent.

If you are under 12 years of age, please put your contact details into the textbox. This can be your email address or phone number. We will then contact you and your parents to receive consent.

Otherwise please confirm that you are or are not under 12 years old.

No Response

About you

Please choose whether you are responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation. Note: If you choose "individual" and consent to have the response published, it will appear under your own name. If you choose "on behalf of an organisation" and consent to have the response published, it will be published under the organisation's name.
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following:
I am content for this response to be published and attributed to me or my organisation
Please provide your Full Name or the name of your organisation. (Only give the name of your organisation if you are submitting a response on its behalf). (Note: the name will not be published if you have asked for the response to be anonymous or "not for publication". Otherwise this is the name that will be published with your response).
Fiona Sinclair
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number.
We will not publish these details.

Aim and approach - Note: All answers to the questions in this section may be published (unless your response is "not for publication").

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposed Bill? (Please note that this question is compulsory.)

Fully opposed

Please explain the reasons for your response.

This is simply virtue signalling. This bill is a nonsense. Why would you `pardon` anyone for something that should never have been a crime in the first place? You do realise that women were `wirrit at the stake and brunt` simply because they were considered a witch `by habit and repute`, and that no evidence, other

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposed Bill? (Please note that this question is compulsory.)

than that, was considered necessary? If you want to highlight the Scottish witchhunt, then highlight it by encouraging research into it, subsidise arts projects on it and educate the Scottish public about it. For the contemporary witchcraft cases in other parts of the world, you could put pressure on the countries that are failing to stamp this out by highlighting what happened in Scotland and showing that, as Christina Larner outlined, the witchhunt was a form of social control.

Q2. Do you think legislation is required, or are there other ways in which the proposed Bill's aims could be achieved more effectively? Please explain the reasons for your response.

Legislation is not required - that would be a waste of parliamentary time and money. Please see previous response:-

'This is simply virtue signalling. This bill is a nonsense. Why would you 'pardon' anyone for something that should never have been a crime in the first place? You do realise that women were 'wirrit at the stake and brunt' simply because they were considered a witch 'by habit and repute', and that no evidence, other than that, was considered necessary? If you want to highlight the Scottish witchhunt, then highlight it by encouraging research into it, subsidise arts projects on it and educate the Scottish public about it. For the contemporary witchcraft cases in other parts of the world, you could put pressure on the countries that are failing to stamp this out by highlighting what happened in Scotland and showing that, as Christina Larner outlined, the witchhunt was a form of social control.'

Financial Implications

Q3. Any new law can have a financial impact which would affect individuals, businesses, the public sector, or others. What financial impact do you think this proposal could have if it became law?

a significant increase in costs

Please explain the reasons for your answer.

At a time such as this, when money and resources are being denied to people in need, the Bill would be a waste of time and money and will not bring back the dead. None of us are responsible for what happened 300 years ago. My own suggestions are far better, if you want to take this issue seriously.

Equalities

Q4. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on equality, taking account of the following protected characteristics (under the Equality Act 2010): age, disability, gender re-assignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation?

Negative

Please explain the reasons for your response including the impact on people with particular protected characteristics

The Scottish witchhunt took place between the 1560s and 1730 - there really won't be any impact on people with protected characteristics!

Sustainability

Q5. Any new law can impact on work to protect and enhance the environment, achieve a sustainable economy, and create a strong, healthy, and just society for future generations.

Do you think the proposal could impact in any of these areas? (If you do not have a view then skip to next question)

Please explain the reasons for your answer, including what you think the impact of the proposal could be, and if there are any ways you think the proposal could avoid negative impacts?

You can avoid negative impacts on Scottish politics and society by responding to my sensible suggestion. A parliamentary Bill is wholly inappropriate.

General

Q6. Do you have any other additional comments or suggestions on the proposed Bill (which have not already been covered in any of your responses to earlier questions)?

No, I think you just need to listen to someone like me who is both sensible and sensitive. I've offered you a very good suggestion for an alternative to this Bill, that will probably achieve what you say you want to achieve. Please take my advice!